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**Review Questions**

**Level of Evidence**

The EBM Levels of Evidence Score is based on Oxford Centre classification style -- 1 is a higher level of evidence; 5 is a lower level of evidence

For additional EBM scoring details, please refer to the button above “Reviewer Instructions”, or the **Instructions for Authors** located on the CVIR homepage on Springer.com (please find the link on top of this webpage).

                        

**Overall Quality of the Manuscript**

 Please select a response (answer options: very good, good, satisfying, poor, unacceptable)

**Reviewer Blind Comments to Author**

**Description**

Your comments will be a reference for authors in case they need to revise their manuscript and make it more suitable for publication. Therefore, please be clear and concise in your comments to the authors. Please **do** **not** enter confidential comments for Editors in this box.

Manuscripts should not exceed the word count specified in the instructions for authors. Review articles should not exceed 3,000 words. Please point out if the paper is too long.

**In the box:**

Please add your comments to each item below applicable to the manuscript**:**

1) General comments:

2) Detailed comments:

Abstract:

Main text:

References:

Images/tables (if any):

Language quality:

Statistic results (if applicable):

**Reviewer Confidential Comments to Editor**

**Description**

These are confidential comments to the Editors. Comments entered in this box will not be revealed to the authors.

By addressing the questions below you will indicate the manuscript’s suitability for publication in CVIR.

**In the box**

Relevance:

Major strengths:

Major weaknesses:

Novelty / Originality:

Scientific merit: